



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		•	•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,939	01/26/2001	Brian Edward Calvert	PF01763NA	5499	
20280 MOTOROLA I	7590 03/13/2007		EXAM	INER	
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45 ROOM AS437 LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			CHO, UN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BE, 1E 000 10 33 13		2617		
<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/13/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/769,939	CALVERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on	Un C. Cho	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	<u> December 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1 4, 12, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunn et al. (US 5,873,040)

Regarding claim 1, Dunn discloses determining an approximate geographic location of the communication device (Dunn: Col. 6, lines 19-43); transmitting to the communication device, based on the approximate geographic location, at least a request for a more accurate geographic location of the communication device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 14-19); receiving from the user input device of the communication the more accurate geographic location (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 19-22); and conveying the more accurate geographic location to a target device (conveying a specific sub-area information to the rescue personnel; Dunn: Col. 8, lines 22-27).

Regarding claim 2, Dunn discloses prior to the step of determining the approximate geographic location of the communication device, receiving a request for a geographic location of the communication device from a requesting device, the request identifying the target device (mobile unit makes an emergency call, whereas mobile unit's ID is transmitted to the base station so

Art Unit: 2617

that the mobile unit (target device = requesting device) can be identified and located; Dunn: Col. 6, lines 19 - 36).

Regarding claim 3, Dunn discloses wherein the requesting device is the target device (Dunn: Col. 6, lines 19 - 36).

Regarding claim 4, Dunn discloses wherein the requesting device is the communication device (mobile unit; Dunn: Col. 6, lines 19 – 36).

Regarding claim 12, Dunn discloses transmitting a request to the communication device for the approximate geographic location; and receiving the approximate geographic location from the communication device responsive to the transmitted request (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 14 – 22).

Regarding claim 13, Dunn discloses conveying a map to the target device, wherein the map indicates the more accurate geographic location (rescue personnel receives location information in the form of a map; Dunn: Col. 8, lines 3-27).

Regarding claim 16, Dunn discloses determining a location of the target device (Dunn: Col. 6, lines 19 - 43); and conveying supplemental information (specific features relative to the caller's current location) related to both the location of the target device and the more accurate geographic location of the communication device to the target device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 14 - 22).

Application/Control Number: 09/769,939 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5 8, 11, 14, 15, 17 26, 31 34, 36 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
   103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn in view of Chern (US 2003/0060211 A1).

Regarding claim 5, Dunn as applied above does discloses transmitting a map to the rescue personnel (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 22 – 27). However, Dunn as applied above does not specifically disclose transmitting to the communication device a map of an area that includes the approximate geographic location of the communication device. In an analogous art, Chern remedies the deficiencies of Dunn by disclosing such limitation on Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0043, line 9 through Paragraph 0044, line 21. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Chern to the system of Dunn in order to provide a location based information retrieval system that efficiently delivers accurate location onto the handset in the form of a map so that the user can easily and visually identify its location.

Regarding claim 6, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the step of receiving the more accurate geographic location comprises the step of receiving information identifying a location of the communication

Art Unit: 2617

4, 5

device on the map (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, line 1 through Paragraph 0045, line 11).

Regarding claim 7, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses a modified representation of the map indicating the location of the communication device (displayed or audibly rendered to the user; Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, lines 1 – 21).

Regarding claim 8, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses textual information and graphical information further identifying the location of the communication device (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, lines 1 – 21).

Regarding claim 11, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses transmitting to the communication device a textual description of an area that includes the approximate geographic location of the communication device (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, line 1 through Paragraph 0045, line 11).

Regarding claim 14, Dunn discloses conveying the more accurate geographic location to the target device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 3 – 27). Moreover, Chern discloses conveying a textual description of the more accurate geographic location to the target device (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, lines 1 – 21 and Page 4, Paragraph 0052, line 1 through Paragraph 0053, line 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Chern to the system of Dunn in order to provide an alternative method of conveying information such as textual description to the user rather than just presenting a map.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 15, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the more accurate geographic location includes information indicating a height of the communication device (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0040, lines 1 – 13).

Regarding claim 17, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the supplemental information is based on a distance between the communication device and the target device (driving distance and direction; Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, line 1 through Paragraph 0045, line 11 and Page 5, Paragraph 0062, line 1 through Paragraph 0065, line 15).

Regarding claim 18, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the supplemental information comprises at least one of a city, a state, and a country when the communication device is located a substantial distance from the target device (destination address includes city and/or state information as well; Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, line 1 through Paragraph 0045, line 11 and Page 5, Paragraph 0062, line 1 through Paragraph 0065, line 15).

Regarding claim 19, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the supplemental information comprises at least one of directions to the more accurate geographic location of the communication device from the location of the target device, an approximate distance between the more accurate geographic location of the communication device and the location of the target device, and an approximate commute time between the location of the target device and the more accurate geographic location of the communication device

Art Unit: 2617

1. 1

(the user of the handset is provided with choices such as shortest possible route, interstate route, safest route, most scenic route, etc. whereas each one of those choices is an indication of how long the trip will take and is up to the user of the handset to choose the best route according to the situation the user is in and the server will perform route calculation and conveys the information to the user accordingly; Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, line 1 through Paragraph 0045, line 11 and Page 5, Paragraph 0062, line 1 through Paragraph 0065, line 15).

Regarding claim 20, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses prior to the step of transmitting at least a request determining whether the approximate geographic location of the communication device is different than a previous approximate geographic location of the communication device; and when the approximate geographic location of the communication device is different than a previous approximate geographic location of the communication device, automatically transmitting a map to the communication device, wherein the map corresponds to an area including the approximate geographic location of the communication device (Chern: Page 6, Paragraph 0074, lines 1 – 21 and Page 4, Paragraph 0053, lines 1 – 14).

Regarding claim 21, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses receiving information from the user input device identifying a location of the communication device on the map (Chern: Page 4, Paragraph 0052, line 1 through Paragraph 0053, line 14).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 22, Dunn discloses receiving from the system infrastructure, at least a request for an accurate geographic location of the communication device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 14 – 19); receiving from the user input device, the accurate geographic location of the communication device; and transmitting the accurate geographic location to the system infrastructure for subsequent delivery to the target device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 19 – 27). Moreover, Chern discloses displaying the request on the communication device (Chern: Page 4, Paragraph 0051, line 1 through Paragraph 0053, line 14).

Regarding claims 23 and 37, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 24, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses displaying the map to the user (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0044, lines 1 – 21 and Page 4, Paragraph 0052, line 1 through Paragraph 0053, line 14).

Regarding claim 25, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 21.

Regarding claim 26, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 7.

Regarding claims 31 and 34, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 15.

Regarding claim 32, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses receiving a map of an area that includes an approximate geographic location of the communication device; and storing the map in a memory of the

communication device; wherein the step of displaying comprises the step of automatically displaying the map responsive to receiving the request and wherein the step of receiving the accurate geographic location comprises the step of receiving an indication on the map corresponding to a location of the communication device (Chern: Page 3, Paragraph 0043, line 1 through Paragraph 0047, line 16).

Regarding claims 33, 36 and 41, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 22.

Regarding claim 38, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 24

Regarding claim 39, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the information corresponding to the accurate geographic location of the communication device comprises an indication on the map corresponding to a location of the communication device (Chern: Page 4, Paragraph 0052, line 1 through Paragraph 0053, line 14).

Regarding claim 40, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above discloses wherein the user input device comprises at least one of a keypad, a computer mouse, a touchpad, a touchscreen, a trackball, and a keyboard (Chern: Page 2, Paragraph 0035, lines 1 – 20).

Regarding claim 42, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 40.

Art Unit: 2617

٠,

٠,

5. Claims 9, 10, 27 – 30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Chern as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Ellis et al. (US 5,699,255).

Regarding claim 9, Dunn in view of Chern as applied above does not specifically receiving information identifying a second approximate location of the communication device on the map and a request for a second map corresponding to an area that includes the second approximate location, the second map being of a higher resolution than the map of the area that includes the approximate geographic location of the communication device, and wherein the method further comprises the step of transmitting the second map of the communication device. In an analogous art, Ellis remedies the deficiencies of the Dunn in view of Chern by disclosing such limitation whereas the user is able to select desired resolution level by transmitting a request to the communication system and can also zoom in or zoom out according to the user's needs and based on the request a new map is transmitted back to the user; Ellis: Col. 7, line 11 through Col. 8, line 56. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Ellis to the modified system of Dunn in view of Chern in order to provide a user friendly and cost effective navigation system that is capable of tailoring location information provided to a user in conjunction with a GPS over a large area.

Art Unit: 2617

١.

Regarding claim 10, Dunn in view of Chern and further in view of Ellis as applied above discloses receiving information from the user input device identifying a location of the communication device on the second map (Ellis: Col. 8, lines 21 – 51).

Regarding claim 27, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 9.

Regarding claim 28, Dunn in view of Chern and further in view of Ellis as applied above discloses transmitting the second approximate location of the communication device and the request for the second map (Ellis: Col. 7, line 11 through Col. 8, line 56).

Regarding claim 29, Dunn in view of Chern and further in view of Ellis as applied above discloses receiving the second map; displaying the second map to the user; and receiving, from the user input device, an indication on the second map corresponding to a location of the communication device to produce the accurate geographic location of the communication device (Ellis: Col. 7, line 11 through Col. 8, line 56).

Regarding claim 30, Dunn in view of Chern and further in view of Ellis as applied above discloses transmitting a modified representation of the second map that includes the indication corresponding to the location of the communication device (Ellis: Col. 7, line 11 through Col. 8, line 56).

Regarding claim 35, Dunn in view of Chern and further in view of Ellis as applied above discloses determining an approximate geographic location of the

Art Unit: 2617

communication device (Dunn: Col. 6, lines 19 – 43); transmitting to the communication device, based on the approximate geographic location, at least a request for a more accurate geographic location of the communication device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 14 - 19); receiving from the user input device of the communication device the more accurate geographic location (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 19 - 22); and conveying the more accurate geographic location to a target device (Dunn: Col. 8, lines 22 – 27 and Chern: Page 2, Paragraph 0030, lines 9 – 11; Paragraph 0032, lines 1 – 9; Paragraph 0035, lines 1 – 20; Page 3, Paragraph 0046, line 1 through Paragraph 0047, line 5 and Page 4, Paragraph 0052, line 1 through Paragraph 0053, line 3); receiving, from the user input device, an indication on the first map corresponding to a second approximate geographic location of the communication device, the second approximate geographic location being more accurate than the first approximate geographic location; conveying the second approximate geographic location and a request for a second map to the system infrastructure; receiving the second map from the system infrastructure, the second map corresponding to an area that includes the second approximate geographic location and being of a higher resolution than the first map; displaying the second map on the communication device; receiving from the user input device, an indication on the second map corresponding to a location of the communication device; and conveying the location of the communication device to the system infrastructure for subsequent delivery to the target device (Ellis: Col. 7, line 11 through Col. 8, line 56).

Art Unit: 2617

Page 13

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un C. Cho whose telephone number is (571) 272-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on M ~ F 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Un C Cho Examiner 3/9/07 VC

Art Unit 2617

GEORGE ENG (